Vol. 15, No. 2 June 2008



John T. Esse, Ph.D.

Elsewhere in ings,"

orders and post-hearing final decisions. services. Specific statutory, administrative rule, and/or ethical code violations are cited, Failing to understand what is or is not limitations, though they may be in the Practice Act. future on the Board's website. It occurs created problems for others. The examor questions to the Board.

ries, e.g. engaging in romantic/sexual activities with a client, former client, or parent of a client, or assuming that, beinvolved intimately.

and/or confidentiality requirements, e.g., performing assessments in a public place, such as a library.

Failing to perform competent child custody and/or parental fitness evaluations or any other evaluation that is likely to be reviewed in a forensic setting, e.g., making evaluative statements about one Some time ago, I heard an official at a her, or improperly communicating findings/recommendations.

III rather than the WISC-IV, etc.

FROM THE CHAIR Failing to be honest with third party problems, my "take home message" is: be payers and/or not meeting all contrac- familiar with and abide by the letter and tual obligations with such payers, e.g., implications of the most recent APA Ethics this fraudulent billing for services not ren- Code, the North Carolina Psychology Pracnewsletter is a section dered, or listing one's name as the pro-tice Act, and associated administrative titled, "Legal Proceed-vider on insurance forms when a supervictorial rules. They serve as the bases for all wherein the see provided the service even though the Board findings. Board shares certain insurance company specifies that the pro-volved in an ethical dilemma, consult a details regarding actions taken via consent vider must personally provide covered supervisor or peer, and feel free to use

along with the actions taken by the Board. the practice of psychology, e.g., a Provi- At this writing, I have informed the Board Descriptions of what the individual did or sional LP or an LPA not obtaining supervi- that I do not wish to be considered for a didn't do that resulted in the action taken sion for certain elements of "community third year as Chair. After 30 years, I am are not supplied at this time due to space support" services that may fall within the retiring from service in the North Carolina

to me that it could be helpful to licensees Failing to fulfill all elements of a superto know a bit more about conduct that has vision contract as a supervisor, e.g., not the accomplishments the Board has made meeting with a supervisee at the fre- in the last two years, including important ples below were derived from actual cases guency specified in a contract or in other statutory and rule changes as well as the respects providing insufficient supervision, re-starting of the newsletter. The not keeping supervision records, or sub-Failing to respect professional bounda- mitting inaccurate and/or incomplete critical, in that it affects lives in a very documentation to the Board in response to direct and sometimes profound way. a request.

or not responding to Board questions/ directives, e.g., not submitting a required transition as I fulfill the remainder of my Failing to abide by privacy mandates affidavit that one's practice has been sus- second three-year term through June pended after having been directed by the 2009, or until my successor is appointed. Board to do so, not suspending the aforementioned practice after having been directed to do so, or not submitting reguired supervision reports in a timely way, even after multiple notices to do so by the

parent without having interviewed him/ U.S.-Canadian conference of State and Provincial Psychology Boards say that regulatory Boards serve as "the psychology police." While there is an element of Failing to use the latest version of as- truth in that description, the Board would sessment instruments, e.g., using the prefer that licensees not have problems in WAIS-R rather than the WAIS-III, the WISC- the first place; I think it is important to recognize that most do not. To avoid

If you find yourself in-Board staff or your professional association for consultation.

public mental health system and feel that this would be a good time to make a shift in my Board role as well. I am pleased at "ordinary" business of the Board is also Knowing that, I have tried to handle leadership in a fair manner. My best wishes go cause no fee is charged, one can become Failing to be honest with the Board and/ to the next Chair, along with a commitment to provide support for a smooth

IN THIS ISSUE	
From the Chair	1
Seasoned Reflections	2
"C" is for Compassion	2
Life Comes at You Fast	3
Multiple Relationships	4
Legal Proceedings	5
The Facts About License Renewal	6
Keeping Electronic Records	6
Streamlined Track to Licensure	7
FAQs	8



#### SEASONED REFLECTIONS

J. Anthony Powell, M.A.

A few reflections from one of the Board's most seasoned members.

16 years of service on the Board have af- be important to mention involves ethical other mental health professionals may forded me the opportunity to make many violations based on attitude. In such assist in avoiding most of the pitfalls. direct observations of the profession. cases, the psychologist "knows" better, When I first came on the Board, I was but takes inappropriate clinical shortcuts, I believe that two major challenges (read changed over the years, the major under- in providing opinions to third parties. This for competency to be evaluated. of psychologists in North Carolina whose violation stems from an absence of knowl- criteria. names are never under the scrutiny of the edge. We all readily recognize that diffi-

their licenses every two years. These in- most careful, experienced psychologist. dividuals make psychology a strong force However, psychologists need to anticipate providing competent services to the citi- potential problems and develop strategies zens of this State.

Board except for the routine renewal of cult clients or situations can challenge the to ensure clear communications and boundaries with others. Continuing edu-As I rotate off the Board, I realize that my Otherwise, the first dynamic I believe to cation, readings, and consultation with

amazed and chagrined at the frequency uses outdated instruments, is insensitive "opportunities") are facing the Board at and array of ethical violations. Although in responding timely to others' requests, the present time. The first is the national the types of ethical violations have exploits patients, or far exceeds the data interest in competency and the methods lying dynamics appear to have remained apparent sense of entitlement is difficult logical extension of this movement is to the same. Allow me to interject that, of for a regulatory board to remediate. The assess the skills and knowledge base of significant positive note are the hundreds second major area of ethical or regulatory the new graduate against performance Measuring competency would continued on Page 7



compassionate (adj.) having a sympathetic consciousness of others' distress with a desire to alleviate it.

I think no other word best describes Dr. Belinda Novik. At my first Board meeting, I was half excited, half nervous about meeting the Board members and making a good first impression. Whether it was my nerves, or the food I had eaten the night before, or maybe even a combination of both, I certainly made a lasting impression, though not the one I had envisioned. Not fifteen minutes into the meeting, I became extremely ill (I will spare you the details). Fortunately, everyone was so tolerant of the interruption, and several Board and staff members were extremely kind to me. Dr. Novik, in particular, was very concerned, and continued to check on me throughout the morning, even bringing ice chips to my room for me to stay hydrated. The same compassion I witnessed at my first Board meeting has continuously shown through her Board service.

No Board decision is made in haste, and Dr. Novik has always been careful to consider situations and concerns from all an-Vol. 15, No. 2

broad experience as an academic and as a upcoming events also share calendar private practitioner have served the Board well in its deliberations.

Although the Board will certainly miss Dr. (Independent Practice and Psychopharma-Novik, it is not hard to understand why Board service may not be on the agenda chological Association, and the Society for for the immediate future. In addition to Reproductive Medicine. I think it is safe maintaining her private practice, she is to say that Dr. Novik will not suffer from finishing up medical school (with a idle hands once her tenure with the Board graduation date of July 15, 2008), will be is complete. The Board appreciates her hosting her third foreign exchange student service, enthusiasm, and compassion. in August, and will soon be helping her

gles and has consistently demonstrated son, a junior in high school, find a colher compassion in Board meetings. Her lege/university to attend. These exciting, space with Dr. Novik's ongoing involvement with organizations such as the American Psychological Association cology divisions), the North Carolina Psy-

#### THE NORTH CAROLINA PSYCHOLOGY BOARD **MEMBERS**

John T. Esse, Ph.D., Licensed Psychologist, Chair Belinda R. Novik, Ph.D., MSCP, PC, Licensed Psychologist, Vice Chair

Harry A. Fozzard, M.D., Public Member Stephen W. Guttu, Public Member

Michael B. Jones, M.A., Licensed Psychological Associate Jane E. Perrin, Ph.D., Licensed Psychologist

J. Anthony Powell, M.A., Licensed Psychological Associate **STAFF** 

Martha N. Storie, Executive Director Randy Yardley, M.A., Staff Psychologist Susan C. Loy, M.A., Staff Psychologist Debbie Hartley, Administrative Officer Wilma Ragan, Office Assistant

April G. Everett, Communication Specialist Sondra C. Panico, Assistant Attorney General and Counsel

to the Board

**CENTRAL OFFICE** 

Address: 895 State Farm Road

Suite 101 Boone, NC 28607

828-262-2258

828-265-8611

Phone:

Fax:

E-mail: ncpsybd@charter.net

www.ncpsychologyboard.org



June 2008



## LIFE COMES AT YOU FAST: DO YOU HAVE A PLAN?

Sondra C. Panico



Accounts of car crashes, muggings, house fires, and health-related deaths plague the news every night. Few things in life are certain; unfortunately, death is one of them. So that

you can "rest in peace," the Board has adopted an advisory statement to provide guidance to psychologists with regard to records maintenance upon death.

This advisory statement is written to provide a general framework for psychologists to consider when making decisions repsychologists give serious consideration to the issue of what prothe best practice is to have the records transferred to another sent to allow such a transfer. trained individual who will ensure that they are maintained in a longer). [N.C. Gen. Stat. § 90-270.15(a)(18)].

Psychologists may wish to consult with an estate planning attorideally be another psychologist or licensed mental health professional whom you trust to handle your records with due consid- www.apa.org. eration given to the statutory and ethical requirements regardthey remain consistent with your wishes for your records.

This advisory statement is based upon several pertinent standards in the Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct (American Psychological Association, 2002). Specifically, Standard 4.01 requires that psychologists take reasonable precautions to protect confidential information. In addition, Standard 6.02 (c) states that psychologists should make plans in advance to facilitate the appropriate transfer and to protect the confidentiality of records and data in the event of psychologists' withdrawal from positions or practice. Further, Standard 3.12 requires that psychologists make reasonable efforts to plan for facilitating services in the event that psychological services are interrupted by illness, death or other limitations. Finally, Standard 10.09 discusses providing for an orderly and appropriate resolution of responsibility for client/patient care.

In addition, in order to be compliant with HIPAA requirements, garding record keeping upon their death. The Board advises that psychologists may wish to provide consent forms to their current and new patients in which psychologists inform patients of their vision to make for psychological records upon their death and to plans upon death. In doing so, patients are made aware of have a written plan which documents what will happen with whom psychologists are transferring their records to, and they their records upon their death. Further, the Board advises that are able to provide psychologists with the proper written con-

confidential manner. This policy is consistent with the N.C. Psy- Finally, psychologists may consider a method for informing pachology Practice Act, which requires that records be maintained tients or former patients that the records have been transferred confidentially for a period of at least seven years from the date to another clinician and how they may gain access to their reof the last provision of psychological services or three years cords. A psychologist could make provisions to have such a nofrom the date of the attainment of majority age (whichever is tice published in the local newspaper and/or sent to all patients and former patients, if possible.

The Board advises that psychologists consider making provisions. This advisory statement is intended to provide psychologists with for their records upon their death in estate planning documents. guidance as they attempt to establish their own record keeping policies and practices for transfer/maintenance of their records ney to add this provision to their estate planning documents. upon their death. Psychologists may also wish to review Record The individual to whom you would transfer your records would Keeping Guidelines, published in the December 2007 edition of the American Psychologist, available on the APA website at

ing records maintenance and confidentiality of psychological NOTE: This article was prepared for the North Carolina Psycholrecords. You may also consider designating a back up person in ogy Board by Sondra Panico, Assistant Attorney General and the event that the first person is unavailable. In addition, it Counsel to the Board. It has not been reviewed and approved in may be helpful to review your plans periodically to ensure that accordance with procedures for issuing an Attorney General's opinion.

## psychUPDATE

Effective July 1st, Drs. Jane Perrin and John Esse will serve as Board Chair and Vice Chair, respectively.

Deforestation has many negative effects on the environment, the most dramatic being loss of habitat for millions of species. Approximately 70% of Earth's land animals and plants live in forests, and many cannot survive the deforestation that destroys their homes.\* If you are interested in reducing paper consumption (and deforestation), please e-mail April Everett at april@ncpsychologyboard.org and request to receive e-mailed notifications, in lieu of paper copies, when the newsletter is available on the Board's website. Together, we can make a difference, one newsletter at a time.



\*Source: http://science.nationalgeographic.com/science/environment/environmental-threats/deforestation-overview.com

Vol. 15, No. 2 June 2008



### MULTIPLE RELATIONSHIPS

Susan C. Loy, M.A.

An in-depth look at a complex topic that begs for serious study, discussion among fellow professionals, and self-reflection.

In my 10 years with the Board, I have been amazed by the demanding situations in which licensees sometimes find themselves. When I first entered this profession, I held the belief that, if a psychologist adheres strictly to well-defined boundaries with clients, he/she should not have to worry too much about being faced with tough ethical dilemmas involving multiple relationships. However, my viewpoint on this complex issue tation or harm are not unethical." This standard frames a was challenged not too long after entering practice, and much broader context with regard to having more than one type of more dramatically after I started working for the Board.

It is worth engaging in proactive thinking regarding possible and tiple relationship, there are numerous ethical decision-making probable scenarios one might find himself/herself facing in real models available to review and consider when determining life practice as a licensee of the Board. There is a strong likeli- which multiple relationships should be avoided, which may be hood you will be confronted with an ethical dilemma involving acceptable, and which may be inevitable. Below are examples boundaries and multiple relationships, and sometimes these di- of thought-provoking, slightly altered situations that have come lemmas occur on a more frequent basis than may be antici- before the Board that you may wish to ponder and discuss with pated. Some practitioners, depending on their area of practice colleagues. With the primary consideration given to not disruptand the population to whom they provide services, are fre- ing the therapeutic or supervisory relationship, I suggest that quently confronted with these matters, and have contacted you contemplate how you would respond in these situations. Board staff to discuss these issues as part of their ethical decision-making process.

Pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. § 90-270.15(a) of the North Carolina Psychology Practice Act, licensees are to behave in conformity with the professional standards set forth in the Code of Conduct, • which incorporates reference to the current American Psychological Association (APA) code of ethics, under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 90-270.15(a)(10). Standards 7.07, 10.05, 10.06, and 10.07 of • the APA ethics code address the prohibition against psychologists engaging in sexual intimacies with students or supervisees, with current clients, or with relatives or significant others of current clients, and not providing treatment to former sexual partners. While these standards are clear and not open to interpretation, they are still violated, sometimes without the psychologist ever fully recognizing the violation or the negative impact that the relationship has on the client. I have found in my experience as an investigator that psychologists who have engaged in some • type of sexual impropriety have, on occasion, convinced themselves that their behavior was within acceptable bounds, and that it was beneficial to their client. Often, these prohibited making model, and willingly address their own personal issues as erations to be made when faced with a particular situation.

they arise, seem to be least at risk of finding themselves in a prohibited multiple relationship. Conversely, those psychologists who are in a practice in which they are fairly isolated from the professional psychology community, are overworked, and are unwilling to address their own personal issues honestly are typically at a much greater risk to place themselves in a vulnerable position for making choices that may result in harm to a client and discipline of their psychology license.

Standard 3.05 Multiple Relationships, accommodates some multiple relationships by stating, "Multiple relationships that would not reasonably be expected to cause impairment or risk exploirelationship with another individual. In addition to previously addressed risk factors for entering into a clearly prohibited mul-

- A supervising psychologist considers purchasing office property with his/her supervisee with whom he has had a very positive and trusting relationship for many years.
- Considering meeting your client in a setting outside of your office, and if so, under what circumstances.
- Commenting on the physical appearance of a client who has low self-esteem and distorted thinking about his/her appearance. What exactly is or is not acceptable to say to a client in this regard?
- Hiring your client to do your insurance billing, when you see this client on an infrequent basis. He/she is high functioning, professional, and dependable.
- Engaging in any one or more of a variety of social activities with your supervisee (e.g., fishing trips, golfing, neighborhood cookout), and if so, under what circumstances.

relationships have first been entered into with what appears to It is extremely important to be familiar with the ethical stanhave been a subtle crossing of the boundaries by the psycholo- dards that address multiple relationships. If you are inclined to gist, driven primarily by the professional seeking to meet his/her enter what you believe to be a permissible multiple relationship, own personal needs. Behavior that starts out as a "minor" viola- consider the various ramifications of the relationship before tion or not "best practice" can easily and rapidly progress into a making such a decision. If you are faced with an ethical diclearly prohibited multiple relationship. Based upon my experi- lemma and wish to discuss the matter with one of the Board's ence, I have learned that those psychologists who are profes- staff psychologists, you may contact the Board office. Board sionally connected with other psychologists, regularly seek con- staff may be unable to instruct you specifically with regard to sultation on their cases, use some type of ethical-decision- what action(s) you might take, but will be glad to discuss consid-

Vol. 15, No. 2 June 2008



## A LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

During the period of time from February 1, 2008, through May 15, 2008, the Board held three administrative hearings. It reviewed and closed 17 complaint cases involving psychologists in which it either found no evidence of probable cause of a violation or insufficient evidence to issue a statement of charges, and three cases involving non-psychologists. Further, it issued remedial action in three cases, and took the following action:

- G. Robert Braswell, M.A. FINAL DECISION was approved on March 31, 2008. The Board found that Mr. Braswell's conduct violated N.C. Gen. Stat. §§ 90-270.15(a)(10) and (a)(19) of the N.C. Psychology Practice Act, and Standard 3.09 of the Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct (American Psychological Association, 2002). The FINAL DECISION constitutes a limitation on Mr. Braswell's license, and his supervision at Level 3 is rescinded. For each working setting, Mr. Braswell shall receive supervision by a Board approved supervisor who holds a permanent license to practice psychology in North Carolina, at a rate of one hour per week during any week in which he engages in any activity which constitutes the practice of psychology, for a period of at least one year or 1500 hours in the practice of psychology, whichever time period is longer. During this time period, Mr. Braswell's supervisor must submit quarterly supervision reports, and Mr. Braswell must receive four consecutive supervision reports with average or above average ratings in all areas to be released from the specified supervision requirements. Further, he must remit \$900.00 in costs.
- Steven T. DeBerry, Ph.D. CONSENT ORDER was approved on May 8, 2008. Dr. DeBerry admits that the described conduct constitutes violations of N.C. Gen. Stat. §§ 90-270.15(a)(10), (a)(14) and (a)(15), of the N.C. Psychology Practice Act, and Standards 9.01(a) and (b) and 9.02(a) of the Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct (American Psychological Association, 2002). Dr. DeBerry's license is REPRIMANDED, and he must remit \$300.00 in costs. Dr. DeBerry must discontinue administering and/or interpreting any assessment instruments in any psychological evaluations, except that he may conduct psychological evaluations which assess only cognitive functioning and/or behavior. Further, he may not perform any evaluations regarding child custody, visitation, or parental fitness, and he may not supervise any other individuals for psychological evaluations. These provisions constitute permanent restrictions on Dr. DeBerry's license to practice psychology.
- Louis C. Gadol, Ph.D. CONSENT ORDER was approved on April 17, 2008. Dr. Gadol admits that the described conduct constitutes unprofessional conduct in violation of N.C. Gen. Stat. § 90-270.15(a)(10), as well as violations of N.C. Gen. Stat. §§ 90-270.15(a)(14) and (a)(15) of the N.C. Psychology Practice Act; Standards 7.02(a) and (b) of the Ethical Principles of REMINDER...REMINDER

Psychologists and Code of Conduct (American Psychological Association, 1992); and Standards 9.01(a) and (b) of the Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct (American Psychological Association, 2002). The action taken by the Board constitutes a limitation on Dr. Gadol's license, which shall be lifted upon written notification by the Board of Dr. Gadol's successful completion of the terms of the Consent Order. Dr. Gadol shall not perform any evaluations regarding child custody, visitation, or parental fitness until he has completed 24 hours of continuing education in these areas. His performance of any psychological evaluations must be supervised by a Board approved supervisor for a minimum of one year, and he may not supervise any other individuals for evaluations during the required time period of supervision. Further, he must remit \$300.00 in costs.

- Alexander G. Kirby, III, Psy.D. CONSENT ORDER was approved on March 31, 2008. Dr. Kirby admits that the described conduct violates N.C. Gen. Stat. §§ 90-270.5(a), 90-270.15(a) and (a)(10), and 90-270.16(b), of the North Carolina Psychology Practice Act. Dr. Kirby's applications for licensure and Health Services Provider certification are approved at the Licensed Psychologist (Provisional) level. Once Dr. Kirby passes the examinations and receives a provisional license, his license is subject to conditions involving supervision, including that he must be supervised by a permanently licensed North Carolina psychologist for one hour per week during any week in which he is engaged in the practice of psychology for at least two years consisting of at least 3000 hours in the practice of psychology and in health service activities. He must remit \$300.00 in costs.
- Gary K. Massey, M.A. FINAL DECISION was approved on February 20, 2008. Mr. Massey's conduct violated the terms of the CORRECTED FINAL DECISION, dated June 23, 1998. Further, his conduct violated N.C. Gen. Stat. §§ 90-270.15(a)(4), 90-270.15(a)(7), 90-270.15(a)(10), and 90-270.15(a)(22) of the N.C. Psychology Practice Act. Mr. Massey's Psychological Associate license is REVOKED, and he must remit \$300.00 in costs.

NOTE: License verification is available on the Board's website and includes whether or not Board action has been taken on an individual license.

## REMINDER...REMINDER...REMINDER

Updated Board forms require new/additional informa- $\mathsf{M}$  tion; therefore, the Board requires that you submit  $\mathsf{M}$ the most current versions of forms. The most up-todate forms are available on the Board's website, or by hard copy (upon request). Old versions of forms that are submitted will be returned to the licensee.



#### THE FACTS ABOUT LICENSE RENEWAL

- In North Carolina, licensees must renew only during even-numbered years (e.g. 2008, 2010, 2012, etc.).
- The fee to renew a license is \$200.00.
- All items on the renewal application must be completed; an incomplete, or incorrectly completed application will be returned for completion/ correction, along with the fee.
- 18 hours of continuing education (CE) credit are required for renewal. For this upcoming cycle, licensees must be able to show they obtained 18 hours of credit between 10/01/06 and 10/01/08. Certificates and other documentation of credits are to be submitted only if the licensee is audited, in which case he or she will be notified by the Board.

## THINGS TO REMEMBER ...

Renewal materials will be mailed in August. To ensure that you receive this, and other important mailings, please be sure to email, fax, or mail any changes in your contact information to the Board (see page 2 for Board contact information).

- Licensees may count up to 9 hours of the required 18 hours of CE credit in Category B activities such as reading appropriate books and articles, which may cost little or no money out-of-pocket.
- If a licensee's initial NC license was issued after October 1, 2006, the licensee does not have to obtain continuing education credits for the current renewal cycle, but must obtain credits for each subsequent renewal cycle.
- A Supervision Report must accompany all licensed psychological associate renewal applications. This is a requirement regardless of the activities in which the LPA may or may not be engaged (e.g., practicing psychology, working in another field, not working at all). The report should cover the period of time from the date of the last filed report, or from the effective date of the Supervision Contract, whichever date is more recent. A separate report must be filed for each contract on file.
- If the renewal application is submitted after October 1, 2008, a \$25.00 late fee must be added to the renewal fee. If the renewal application is submitted after November 30, 2008, the cost in time and dollars goes up even more as the licensee has to apply for reinstatement of licensure (since failure to renew a license within 60 days results in automatic suspension of the license under the law), and the licensee must take the state examination to reinstate his/her license.

For more information about the Board's CE requirements, please visit the Board's website and click on the "Continuing Education" link on the sidebar. If you have any questions about license renewal, do not hesitate to call the Board office for assistance.



bring more risks than benefits?

American Psychological Association in February 2007, appropriate security procedures must be in place to protect against loss of, or unauthorized access to, client records, Because disclosure carries such a potential for risk, psychologists the client and the psychologist.

Security Rule outlines the steps a psychologist must take other media, will ensure maximum client protection. to protect confidential information from disclosure. While the Privacy Rule applies to all Protected Health For the full text of Record Keeping Guidelines, please visit professionals transmit or store PHI electronically.

Technological advancements have Just as paper records must be maintained in a way such that the caused many psychologists to re- security, integrity, and confidentiality of the records are proexamine record keeping methods and tected, so must electronic records be maintained. The use of media. But, could electronic storage electronic methods and media may be more advanced and seemingly more convenient and efficient; however, the ease of creating, transmitting, and sharing electronic records may expose According to Record Keeping Guidelines approved by the many psychologists to risks of unintended disclosure of confidential information.

which could obviously have serious consequences for both must develop security procedures, such as password protection or encryption of records, to protect confidential materials. Whether maintaining paper or electronic records, psychologists The HIPAA Privacy Rule outlines to whom and under what are encouraged to develop a plan to ensure that records are circumstances a psychologist can disclose patient infor- kept safe and secure. Psychologists are further encouraged to mation. For psychologists who maintain records in an consider the medium on which the records in electronic format electronic format and are subject to HIPAA, a detailed are being stored (e.g., disks, cartridges, hard drives) and the analysis of the risk of loss of, or unauthorized access to, way in which individuals may be able to gain access to the reelectronic records and detailed policies and procedures to cords (e.g. passwords, firewalls, data authentication). Protectaddress those risks are required by the Security Rule. The ing the physical location of the records, albeit on computer or

Information (PHI), the Security Rule applies only when www.apa.org/practice/recordkeeping.html (Guidelines 6 and 9 specifically address security and electronic records).

~

0

U

ш



#### continued from Page 2

involve major revisions in the national sional skills and responsibilities. test, the Examination for Professional Practice in Psychology, to measure skills During the years that I've been on the committees with assigned staff support to rather than knowledge. Additionally, Board, the internal operation has changed further examine the difficult cases, and to measuring competency may involve estab- drastically. Initially, Board members relishment of different criteria to judge the viewed every applicant's file, discussed graduate educational programs, practica, every ethical complaint, and examined and internships that students experience. every issue brought to their attention. With the emphasis on outcome rather than Board members were the only investigamethod, previously unrealized opportunitors, and each complaint would be personties may arise for distance learning insti- ally investigated by a member. It was plinary hearings the Board must conduct. tutions to demonstrate their ability to common for Board members to be mailed graduate competent psychologists. developing strategies to assist supervisors able to hire additional staff, who now North Carolina. in providing the assistance necessary for conduct the investigations and complete

The multiple applicant files, which would take My service on the Board has provided a

their supervisees to enhance their profes- the initial comprehensive reviews of applicant files. In a process established by the Board, members divide themselves into determine when full Board involvement is needed, with a Board member always involved in such decisions. The Board has also increased the use of consent agreements in disciplinary cases, which has significantly reduced the number of disci-

second major challenge is training and hours to review prior to the meeting. The tremendous opportunity for personal inteldefining the role of the clinical supervisor actual meetings were long—ten or twelve lectual stimulation. Serving with profesin providing mandated Board supervision. hour days were not uncommon. Hearings sionals who provide different perspectives Similar to the concept that learning to be were often planned at times other than at on issues that confront the Board has been a good parent is not instinctual, neither is Board meetings, and sometimes spanned extremely rewarding. I am thankful for being a good supervisor. Because of con- multiple days. Gradually, and thankfully, having had the opportunity to serve the cerns in this area, the Board is currently this structure changed. The Board was field of psychology and the citizens of



#### STREAMLINED TRACK TO LICENSURE

Randy Yardley, M.A.

A look at a new provision in rule .1701, which allows individuals holding a mobility credential to take a more direct route to become licensed.

Board of Professional Psychology (www.abpp.org).

Psychologists who have been licensed for a number of years in (EPPP) does not have to be submitted from the ASPPB Mobility Hard copies of the forms are also available upon request.

Program if a psychologist applies under this provision in rule. While application fees and other required forms have not changed, the Board believes that the overall effect for anyone applying under the above provisions results in a savings of time, effort, and cost both to the applicant and the Board, while continuing to ensure that only qualified individuals are licensed.

Psychologists licensed at the doctoral level from other jurisdic- Prior to the implementation of this rule, the only route to licentions in the United States and Canada are now afforded a sure that could be characterized as a mobility option has been in streamlined track to licensure in North Carolina beginning March the statute [G.S. § 90-270.13(e)] and rule [21 NCAC 54 .1707] 1, 2008, the date upon which Board rule 21 NCAC 54 .1701(b) that have allowed for making application under senior psycholowent into effect. This new provision in rule allows for an indigist provisions. Under these provisions in rule, the applicant has vidual who is already licensed and in good standing in another been required to have been licensed for a minimum of 12 years state or province to be able to apply for licensure and not to in another jurisdiction by one or more state or provincial psyhave to submit a number of documents heretofore required, as chology boards which are ASPPB members and to have had no long as the individual submits written verification that he/she disciplinary action against the license or to have any current holds one of the following credentials: (a) Certificate of Profes- unresolved complaint. In addition, the applicant under senior sional Qualification from the Association of State and Provincial provisions must have practiced psychology for at least 10 years Psychology Boards (ASPPB, www.asppb.org), (b) registrant in the on at least a half-time basis in one or more of the jurisdictions National Register of Health Service Providers in Psychology in which the individual holds a license. This option remains (www.nationalregister.org), or (c) diplomate of the American available to applicants, and with the implementation of rule .1701(b)(10), mobility options for anyone interested in moving to North Carolina have now been broadened by the Board.

other jurisdictions have often expressed concern that it is some. New forms and instructions have been designed for individuals times difficult to track down previous supervisors and, in some applying on the basis of a mobility credential and may be accases, to have them complete and return the Board's supervisor cessed on the Board's website by clicking on the link on the forms. Having to do this is no longer necessary for someone who sidebar titled, "Application for Licensure Based on Mobility Creholds one of the above mobility credentials if the individual ap- dential." Individuals applying under senior psychologist proviplies under this provision. In addition, a separate score report sions should click on the link, "Application for Licensure," on for the Examination of Professional Practice in Psychology the sidebar, and follow the standard application instructions.

Vol. 15, No. 2 June 2008

### FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS



I have been providing supervision to an LPA, and she has recently decided to close her private practice. I am completing a Supervision Report to terminate our Supervision Contract; however, during this reporting period, she did not engage in activities requiring supervision. Do I still have to fill out the Supervision Report, or can she just complete Section 2 of the Report instead?

Since you entered into the Supervision Contract with the LPA, then you must complete the Supervision Report to terminate the Supervision Contract. (Section 2 of a Supervision Report should be completed *only* if the LPA had a Supervision Contract with Section 2 completed on file.) Many of the questions in Section 1 will not be applicable if the LPA has not engaged in activities requiring supervision during the reporting period; therefore, you may write "0" (zero) for any items in the Supervision Report that do not apply. Do not provide ratings in Item I of the report if you did not provide supervision to the LPA during the reporting period. Simply note "N/A" in the margin, and be sure to sign and date the report.

I recently received a brochure for a Continuing Education (CE) program that claims it will award 3 hours of ethics credit to psychologists. Can the Board tell me for sure if this program will count?

The Board does not pre-approve any CE programs or activities. However, if you visit the Board's website at www.ncpsychologyboard.org and click on the "Continuing Education" link on the sidebar, you will find a "Continuing Education 101" guide specifically designed to assist you in determining whether or not activities meet the requirements, the full text of the CE Rule, FAQs, and links to the APA and NC AHEC continuing education web pages.

## UPCOMING BOARD MEETINGS

July 16-18, 2008

October 1-3, 2008

December 3-5, 2008

# Nave an idea? Share it with us!



If there is a topic you would like to see addressed in a future edition of psychNEWS, please send your suggestions to the Board office.

psychNEWS is prepared by the North Carolina Psychology Board. 3575 copies of this public document were printed at a cost of \$1943.14, or \$0.54 per copy.

If you don't keep me, please recycle me.



North Carolina Psychology Board 895 State Farm Road Suite 101 Boone, NC 28607

**SM3N**YPRM2

PAID PAID

PRESORT STD. U.S. POSTAGE